

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA**

**CASE NO. 24-80116-CR-CANNON/McCabe**

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**

**vs.**

**RYAN WESLEY ROUTH,**

**Defendant.**

/

**GOVERNMENT'S RESPONSE TO  
DEFENDANT'S MOTIONS FOR "SUPPORT" (ECF NO. 228 AND ECF NO. 232)**

In these *pro se* pleadings, the Defendant makes several requests and complaints.

He first asks that the defense witnesses be “sealed.” We are unclear what he means. The Court has not yet set a deadline for disclosure of defense witnesses, although typically that disclosure would be made, openly, no later than the start of the defense case. To the extent he is seeking sealed testimony from his witnesses, the Government objects to sealing trial proceedings for that purpose.

He next asks to be provided certain discovery items and/or trial exhibits. As we told the Court at the pretrial conference on August 8, the Government will make available to the Defendant and standby counsel at calendar call on September 2 a hard copy set of marked trial exhibits. (The Defendant agreed that he would do the same for his proposed exhibits.) In the meantime, the Government’s exhibit list provided to the Defendant and standby counsel on July 28 identifies each item of prospective trial evidence by bates-number and/or description, so the Defendant (with the assistance as appropriate of standby counsel) may obtain these items himself.

Routh next says that he does not have all of the discovery. We assume he is referring to copies of discovery from his former counsel. The Government cannot speak to that issue, although we have no reason to doubt standby counsel's representations in Court that the discovery has been supplied.

Routh's remaining requests are not subjects that the prosecution can resolve. We take no position on any accommodations that the Court and the Bureau of Prisons find are appropriate and safe to ease the Defendant's trial preparation. The Court has properly required the Defendant to confirm on the record that he knows that his decision to go *pro se* at this late date means that his trial preparation may be impacted.

Finally, Routh's requests for payments to his proposed expert witnesses is not a topic we can address, beyond restating the objections we have made already elsewhere to trial testimony from these witnesses.

Respectfully submitted,

JASON A. REDING QUIÑONES  
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

By: /s/ John Shipley  
John C. Shipley  
Florida Bar No. 69670  
Christopher B. Browne  
Florida Bar No. 91337  
Maria K. Medetis Long  
Florida Bar No. 1012329  
Assistant United States Attorneys

U.S. Attorney's Office  
Southern District of Florida  
99 Northeast 4th Street, 8th Floor  
Miami, Florida 33132-2111  
Telephone: (305) 961-9111  
E-mail: John.Shipley@usdoj.gov

JOHN A. EISENBERG  
ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR THE  
NATIONAL SECURITY DIVISION

By: /s/ James Donnelly  
James Donnelly, Trial Attorney  
Court ID No. A5503278  
Department of Justice, National Security Division  
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20530  
Telephone: (202) 514-0849

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on August 15, 2025, I filed the foregoing response with the Clerk of Court using CM/ECF. I FURTHER CERTIFY that a copy of the response was mailed, via United States mail to *pro se* Defendant Ryan Wesley Routh at the address below.

*/s/ John C. Shipley*  
Assistant United States Attorney

Ryan Wesley Routh, *pro se*  
Reg. No. 35967-511  
FDC Miami  
Federal Detention Center  
P.O. Box 019120  
Miami, Florida 33101